Earned Schedule in Action

PMI Oklahoma City Chapter 13th July 2007

Kym Henderson Immediate Past Education Director PMI Sydney Australia Chapter

Kym.Henderson@froggy.com.au

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke



Commonwealth of Australia



Earned Schedule In Action PMI OKC July 2007 v1

- European settlement started on 26th Jan 1788
 - British penal colony
- Federation of 6 colonies on 1st Jan 1901
 - US style Federation
 - Federal Parliament has
 - House of Representatives
 - Senate (12 Senators per State)
 - Federal Constitution
 - Defines powers between Federal and State Governments
 - No Bill of Rights
- BUT use British Westminster system of Parliamentary democracy
 - Majority Leader in House of Representatives becomes "Prime Minister"
- Population
 - Reached 21 million this year
- Land mass
 - Similar size to contiguous US 48 states

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

2

Earned Value Basics



Time 3

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

EVM Schedule Indicators

• SV & SPI behave erratically for projects behind schedule

- SPI improves and concludes at 1.00 at end of project
- SV improves and concludes at \$0 variance at end of project
- Schedule indicators lose predictive ability nominally over the last third of the project

EVM Schedule Indicators

- Why does this happen?
 - SV = EV PV
 - SPI = EV / PV
- At planned completion PV = BAC
- At actual completion EV = BAC
- When actual completion > planned completion
 - SV = BAC BAC =\$000
 - SPI = BAC / BAC = 1.00

Regardless of lateness !!

Introduction to Earned Schedule

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

Importance of Schedule

"We need to maintain our attention on schedule delivery. Data tells us that since July 2003, real cost increase in projects accounted for less than 3 percent of the total cost growth. ... <u>Therefore, our problem is not cost, it is</u> <u>SCHEDULE</u>."

Dr. Steve Gumley, CEO
 Defence Materiel Organization (Australia)
 Quote taken from DMO Bulletin, July 2006, Issue 61, page 3

Earned Schedule: The Concept Seminal paper published in 2003 (Lipke)



\$

Earned Schedule Metrics

Required measures

- Performance Management Baseline (PMB) the time phased Planned Values (PV) from project start to completion
- Earned Value (EV) the Planned Value which has been "Earned"
- Actual Time (AT) the Actual Time duration from the project beginning to the time at which project status is statused
- All measures available from existing EVM data

Earned Schedule Metrics

♦ ES_{cum} is the:

Number of completed PV <u>time increments</u> EV exceeds + the fraction of the incomplete PV increment

• $ES_{cum} = C + I$ where:

C = number of time increments for $EV \ge PV$

$$= (\mathsf{EV} - \mathsf{PV}_{\mathsf{C}}) / (\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{C}+1} - \mathsf{PV}_{\mathsf{C}})$$

• ESperiod(n) = EScum(n) - EScum(n-1) = ΔES_{cum}

♦ ATcum

AT = Actual Time (time now)

• ATperiod(n) = ATcum(n) - ATcum(n-1) = ΔAT_{cum} ΔAT_{cum} is normally equal to 1

Earned Schedule Indicators

- Schedule Variance: SV(t)
 - Cumulative: SV(t) = ES_{cum} AT_{cum}
 - Period: $\Delta SV(t) = \Delta ES_{cum} \Delta AT_{cum}$

Schedule Performance Index: SPI(t)

• Cumulative: **SPI(t) = ES_{cum} / AT_{cum}**

• Period: $\Delta SPI(t) = \Delta ES_{cum} / \Delta AT_{cum}$

Earned Schedule Indicators

 What happens to the ES indicators, SV(t) & SPI(t), when the <u>P</u>lanned project <u>D</u>uration (PD) is exceeded (PV = BAC)?

They Still Work ... Correctly!!

• ES will be \leq PD, while AT > PD

- SV(t) will be negative (time behind schedule)
- SPI(t) will be < 1.00

Reliable Values from Start to Finish !!

Earned Schedule Predictors

- Long time goal of EVM and project management ... Prediction of total project duration from present schedule status
- Independent Estimate at Completion (time)
 - IEAC(t) = PD / SPI(t)
 - IEAC(t) = AT + (PD ES) / PF(t)

where PF(t) is the Performance Factor (time)

- Analogous to IEAC used to predict final cost
- Independent Estimated Completion Date (IECD)
 IECD = Start Date + IEAC(t)

Earned Schedule Key Points

- ES Indicators constructed to behave in an analogous manner to the EVM Cost Indicators, CV and CPI
- SV(t) and SPI(t)
 - <u>Not</u> constrained by PV calculation reference
 - Provide duration based measures of schedule performance
 - Valid for entire project, including early and late finish
- Facilitates integrated Cost/Schedule Management
 - (Using EVM with ES)

Critical Path Study

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

Critical Path Study Outline

The Scheduling Challenge

Case Study Project

- The project
- The EVM, Earned Schedule and Network Schedule approach

Earned Schedule vs Critical Path predictors

<u>Real</u> Schedule Management with Earned Schedule

Initial experience and observations

Conclusion and Final Thoughts

The Scheduling Challenge

A realistic project schedule is dependent on multiple, often complex factors including accurate:

- Estimation of the tasks required,
- Estimates of the task durations
- Resources required to complete the identified tasks

Identification and modeling of dependencies impacting the execution of the project

- Task dependencies (e.g. F-S process flows)
- "Dependent" Milestones (internal and external)
- "Other logic"

The Scheduling Challenge

 From small projects into large projects and programs, scheduling requirements becomes exponentially more complex

Integration

- Of schedules between "master" and "subordinate" schedules
- Often across multiple tiers of
 - Activities and
 - Organisations
 - contributing to the overall program of work

<u>Essential</u> for producing a <u>useful</u> integrated master schedule

To further compound schedule complexity

Once an initial schedule baseline has been established progress monitoring <u>inevitably</u> results in changes

- Task and activity durations change because "actual performance" does not conform to plan
- Additional <u>unforeseen</u> activities may need to be added
- Logic changes as a result of corrective actions to contain slippages; and
- Improved understanding of the work being undertaken
- Other "planned changes" (Change Requests) also contribute to schedule modifications over time

Wouldn't it be nice

To be able to explicitly declare "Schedule Reserve" in the project "schedule of record"

Protect committed key <u>milestone</u> delivery dates

To have schedule macro level indicators and predictors

- Ideally, derived separately from the network schedule!
- Provides a means for comparison and validation of the measures and predictors provided by the network schedule
- An <u>independent</u> predictor of project duration would be a particularly useful metric
 - "On time" completion of projects usually considered important
- Just like EVM practitioners have for cost
 - The potential offered by Earned Schedule

Case Study Project

Commercial sector software development and enhancement project

- Small scale: 10 week Planned Duration
- **Time critical**: Needed to support launch of revenue generating marketing campaign
- Cost budget: 100% labour costs

Mixture of:

- 3 tier client server development
 - Mainframe, Middleware, Workstation
- 2 tier client server development
 - Mainframe to Workstation direct

The EVM and ES Approach

Microsoft Project 2002 schedule

- Resource loaded for time phased effort and cost estimation
- Control Account Work Package views developed in the schedule
- Actual Costs captured in SAP time recording system
 - Limited (actual) cost schedule integration
- Contingency (Management Reserve) managed outside the schedule

Top level Planned Values cum "copied and pasted" into Excel EVM and ES template

• High level of cost – schedule integration achieved

Baseline Schedule: CAP and WP View (Excluding Risk)

Task Name	Baseline Work	Baseline Cost	Duration	Details	July	August	September
Project: ES Example #1 Inital Baseline Schedule	1.675 hrs	\$167,857	87 days	Cost	\$74,084	\$57,310	
				Cum. Cost	\$75,852	\$133,162	\$133,162
CAP 1 PROJECT MANAGEMENT	297 hrs	\$38,610	44 days	Cost	\$14,139	\$17,680	
	400 km	**	34 danse	Cost	\$15,907	\$33,587	\$33,587
CAP 5 BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS	192 nrs	D 0	54 days	Cum Cost	02	0.2	50
CAP 7 SOLUTION DESIGN	160 hrs	\$16 567	95 days	Cost	\$6.367	ψŪ	ψŪ
	100 1113	\$10,001	5.0 uuy 3	Cum. Cost	\$6,367	\$6,367	\$6,367
CAP 8 BUILD & UNIT TEST	720 hrs	\$77,760	30.25 days	Cost	\$45,128	\$13,760	
		,		Cum. Cost	\$45,128	\$58,888	\$58,888
01 Mainframe Stream 1	192 hrs	\$24,960	19.38 days	Cost	\$12,168	Ļ	L
				Cum. Cost	\$12,168	\$12,168	\$12,168
02 Mainframe Stream 2	64 hrs	\$6,400	10 days	Cum Cost	\$4,240	64.240	\$4.240
03 Erontend	104 bre	\$10.400	10 dave	Cost	\$7 920	\$1 440	Ψ 4 ,240
us Fromenu	104 1115	\$10,400	15 uays	Cum. Cost	\$7,920	\$9,360	\$9,360
04 Connect	40 hrs	\$4,000	6.25 days	Cost	\$4,000		
				Cum. Cost	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
05 Database	8 hrs	\$800	1.25 days	Cost	\$800		
				Cum. Cost	\$800	\$800	\$800
06 Middle Tier	208 hrs	\$20,800	25 days	Cum Cost	\$12,320	\$6,880	640.000
07 Perceting	104 bra	\$10 400	21 E dava	Cost	\$12,320	\$19,200	\$19,200
Ur Reporting	104 1115	\$10,400	21.5 uays	Cum. Cost	\$3,680	\$9 120	\$9 120
CAP 9 SYSTEM TEST	104 hrs	\$13,520	29.06 days	Cost	\$8,450	\$5,070	
		••••,•=•		Cum. Cost	\$8,450	\$13,520	\$13,520
CAP 10 UAT	45 hrs	\$5,040	3.75 days	Cost		\$5,040	
				Cum. Cost	_	\$5,040	\$5,040
CAP 11 PRODUCTION IMPLEMENTATION	96 hrs	\$10,260	11.81 days	Cost		\$10,260	
				Cum. Cost		\$10,260	\$10,260

Schedule Management

Weekly schedule updates from week 3 focusing on:

- Accurate task level percentage work completion updates
- The project level percentage work completion (cumulative) calculated by Microsoft Project
 - Percentage work complete transferred to the EVM and ES template to derive the progressive Earned Value (cumulative) measures

Schedule review focusing on critical path analysis

- Schedule updates occurred as needed with
- Revised estimates of task duration and
- Changes to network schedule logic

particularly when needed to facilitate schedule based corrective action

Actual costs entered into the EVM template as they became available (~ weekly)

An Integrated Schedule Analysis Chart Critical Path, IECD, SPI(t) and SPI(\$) on one page



Earned Schedule In Action PMI OKC July 2007 v1

Schedule Analysis

Initial expectation

 The critical path predicted completion date would be more pessimistic than the IECD

In fact

- The ES IECD trend line depicted a "late finish" project with improving schedule performance
- The critical path predicted completion dates showed an "early finish project" with deteriorating schedule performance

Became the "critical question" in Week 8

- ES IECD improvement trend reversed
- Continued deterioration in the critical path predicted completion dates

Schedule Analysis Result

IECD the more credible predictor in <u>this circumstance</u>

- Work was not being accomplished at the rate planned
- No adverse contribution by critical path factors
 - e.g. Externally imposed delays caused by "dependent milestone"

Two weeks schedule delay communicated to management

• Very late delay of schedule slippage a very sensitive issue

Corrective action was immediately implemented

- Resulted in two weeks progress in one week based on IECD improvement in week 9
- Project substantively delivered to the revised delivery date

The IECD vs Critical Path Predictors

Network schedule updates do not usually factor past (critical path) task performance into the future

- Generally concentrate on the <u>current</u> time window
 - Task updates
 - Corrective action to try and contain slippages
- Critical path predicted completion date is not usually calibrated by past actual schedule performance

The ES IECD

Cannot directly take into account critical path information

BUT does calibrate the prediction based on historic schedule performance as reflected in the SPI(t)

Further Observations

Much has been written about the consequences of not achieving work at the EVM rate planned

- At very least, incomplete work needs to be rescheduled ...
- Immediate critical vs non critical path implication requires detailed analysis of the network schedule
- <u>Sustained</u> improvement in schedule performance is a difficult challenge
 - SPI(t) remained in the .7 to .8 band for the entire project!
 - In spite of the corrective action and recovery effort
- <u>Any</u> task delayed <u>eventually</u> becomes critical path if not completed!

SPI(t) a very useful indicator of schedule performance

Especially later in the project when SPI(\$) resolving to 1.0

Questions of Scale

We know that ES is scalable as is EVM

Issues of scale did not arise due to small size of the project

Detailed analysis of the ES metrics is required

- The same as EVM for cost
- The "masking" or "washout" effect of negative and positive ES variances at the detailed level can be an issue
- The same as EVM for cost

Apply Earned Schedule to the Control Accounts and Work Packages on the critical path

And "near" critical path activities

Earned Schedule augments network schedule analysis – it doesn't replace it

Just as EVM doesn't replace a bottom up ETC and EAC

Earned Schedule In Action PMI OKC July 2007 v1

<u>Real</u> Schedule Management with Earned Schedule

- ES is of considerable benefit in analysing and managing schedule performance
- The "time critical" dichotomy of working to "optimistic" predicted task completions and setting and reporting realistic completion dates was avoided
 - ES metrics provided an <u>independent</u> means of sanity checking the critical path predicted completion date
 - Prior to communicating overall schedule status to management
- ES focused much more attention onto the network schedule than using EVM alone

Final Thoughts

- ES is expected be of considerable value to the schedule management for large scale projects and programs
 - Exponential increase in the network scheduling complexities which is both
 - Unavoidable and essential on those programs which means
 - The need and benefits of independent techniques to sanity check schedules of such complexity is much greater
- ES is anticipated to become the "bridge" between EVM and the Network Schedule

Available Resources

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

Publications

- 1. "Schedule is Different," <u>The Measurable News</u>, March & Summer 2003 [Walt Lipke]
- "Earned Schedule: A Breakthrough Extension to Earned Value Theory? A Retrospective Analysis of Real Project Data," <u>The Measurable News</u>, Summer 2003 [Kym Henderson]
- "Further Developments in Earned Schedule," <u>The Measurable News</u>, Spring 2004 [Kym Henderson]
- "Connecting Earned Value to the Schedule," <u>The Measurable News</u>, Winter 2004 [Walt Lipke]
- 5. "Earned Schedule in Action," *The Measurable News*, Spring 2005 [Kym Henderson]
- "Not Your Father's Earned Value," <u>Projects A Work</u>, February 2005 [Ray Stratton]

http://sydney.pmichapters-australia.org.au/

Click "Education," then "Presentations and Papers" for .pdf copies http://www.earnedschedule.com

Presentations

- 1. <u>Earned Schedule An Emerging Practice</u>, 16th IIPM Conference, November 2004 [Walt Lipke, Kym Henderson]
- 2. <u>Schedule Analysis and Predictive Techniques Using Earned Schedule</u>, 16th IIPM Conference, November 2004 [Walt Lipke, Kym Henderson, Eleanor Haupt]
- 3. <u>Earned Schedule an Extension to EVM Theory</u>, EVA-10 Conference (London), May 2005 [Walt Lipke, Kym Henderson]
- 4. <u>Forecasting Project Schedule Completion by Using Earned Value Metrics</u>, EVM Training at Ghent University (Belgium), January 2005 [Stephan Vandevoorde]
- 5. <u>New Concept in Earned Value *Earned Schedule*</u>, PMI Southeast Regional Conference, June 2005 [Robert Handshuh]
- 6. <u>Forecasting Project Schedule Completion by Using Earned Value Metrics</u>, Early Warning Signals Congress (Belgium), June 2005 [Stephan Vandevoorde, Dr. Mario Vanhoucke]

http://sydney.pmichapters-australia.org.au/

Click "Education," then "Presentations and Papers" for .pdf copies http://www.earnedschedule.com

Calculator & Analysis Tools

Freely provided upon email request

- Application assistance if needed
- Please respect copyright ©
- Feedback requested
 - Improvement / Enhancement suggestions
 - Your assessment of value to Project Managers
 - Disclosure of application and results (with organization permission and/or anonymously)

Contact Information

Walt Lipke		Kym Henderson
<u>waltlipke@cox.net</u>	Email	<u>kym.henderson@froggy.com.au</u>
(405) 364-1594	Phone	61 414 428 537

Appendix: ES and Re-Baselining

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

ES and Re-Baselining

ES indicators are affected by re-baselining

- Behaviour of SV(t) and SPI(t) is analogous to CV and CPI
 - See examples

PMB change affects schedule prediction similarly to cost

 Earned Schedule brings attention to the potential schedule impact of a declared "cost only" change

Earned Schedule – Re-Baseline Example Real project data – <u>nominal</u> re-baseline



Earned Schedule In Action PMI OKC July 2007 v1

© Kym Henderson © Walt Lipke

Earned Schedule – Re-Baseline Example *CV*, *SV*(\$) and *SV*(*t*)



Earned Schedule In Action PMI OKC July 2007 v1